IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 602 OF 2006

IN

LETTER PATENT APPEAL NO. 6 OF 2004

MUMBAI MAZDOOR SABHA, MUMBAI - 400 007. ....Applicant

Versus

M/S SYNGENTA INDIA LTD.,AND ANR., ...Respondents

Mr. Valmiki Menezes, Advocate for the applicant,

Mr. S.D. Padupe, Advocate for the respondent no.1.

Coram:- DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN & N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 3rd October, 2006

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for the applicant and learned Counsel for the respondent no.1. By this application, the applicant points out that the name of one Mohan Ajgaonkar was left out in the consent terms by mistake. The learned Counsel for respondent no.1 does not oppose the same. In view thereof the application is allowed as prayed for. Accordingly the name of Mohan Ajgaonkar be added at Sr. No.27 to the list of 26 workmen annexed to the consent terms to the order dated 28.9.2004.

DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

ef.